

Solution for 171 Homework #2

1

1. $u_\alpha(w) = -\exp\{-\alpha w\} \quad \forall w$

$\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} G_\alpha = E(X)$

(i) $u_\alpha^*(w) = \frac{1 - \exp\{-\alpha w\}}{\alpha} \quad \forall w$

show $G_\alpha^*(w) = G_\alpha(w)$

$\therefore u_\alpha^*(w_0 - G_\alpha^*) = E[u_\alpha^*(w_0 - X)]$

$\Rightarrow \frac{1 - \exp\{-\alpha(w_0 - G_\alpha^*)\}}{\alpha} = E\left[\frac{1}{\alpha} [1 - \exp(-\alpha(w_0 - X))]\right]$

$\Rightarrow \exp(\alpha G_\alpha^*) = E[\exp(\alpha X)] = M_X(\alpha)$

$\Rightarrow G_\alpha^* = \frac{\ln M_X(\alpha)}{\alpha}$

when $\alpha \rightarrow 0$ $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} G_\alpha^* = \frac{d \ln M_X(\alpha)}{d\alpha} = \frac{M_X'(\alpha)}{M_X(\alpha)} = E(X)$

$\therefore G_\alpha^* = G_\alpha$

(ii) $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} u_\alpha^*(w) = \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{1 - \exp\{-\alpha w\}}{\alpha}$
 $= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \frac{(1 - \exp\{-\alpha w\})'}{\alpha'}$

$= \lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} w \exp(-\alpha w)$

$= w$

\therefore it is a linear utility function

(iii) $u(w) = a + bw \quad b > 0$

$u(w_0 - G) = E[u(w_0 - X)]$

$a + b(w_0 - G) = E[a + b(w_0 - X)]$

$a + bw_0 - bG = a + bw_0 - bE(X)$

$\therefore G = E(X)$

$$1.2 \quad u(w) = \log w \quad X = 2^N \quad f(N) = \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^N \quad N=1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$E[u(X)] = E[\log 2^N] = \log 2 * E(N)$$

$$\begin{aligned} E(N) &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n + \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n + \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \left(\frac{1}{2}\right)^n + \dots \\ &= 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{4} + \dots \\ &= 2 \end{aligned}$$

$$\therefore E(u(X)) = 2 \log 2$$

$$1.13 \quad w_0 = 100 \quad u(w) = \log w \quad P_r(X=0) = P_r(X=51) = \frac{1}{2}$$

$$a. \quad \because u(w_0 - G) \geq E[u(w_0 - X)]$$

$$\log(100 - G) \geq E[\log(100 - X)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} E[\log(100 - G)] &= \frac{1}{2} \log 100 + \frac{1}{2} \log(100 - 51) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \log 4900 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow 100 - G \geq 70$$

$$\Rightarrow G \leq 30$$

$$b. \quad u(w_I) = E[u(w_I + H - X)]$$

$$\text{where } u(w_I) = 650 \text{ and } u(w) = \log w$$

$$\log 650 = E[\log(650 + H - X)]$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log(650 + H) + \frac{1}{2} \log(599 + H)$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \log[(650 + H)(599 + H)]$$

$$\therefore 650^2 = (650 + H)(599 + H)$$

$$\therefore H = 26$$

1.14 Complete insurance $G = 40$

$$\begin{aligned} u(W-G) &= u(W-40) \\ &= -e^{-0.005(W-40)} \\ &= -1.2214 e^{-0.005W} \end{aligned}$$

Partial insurance $G = 25$

$$\begin{aligned} &E\left[u\left(W-G-X+\frac{X}{2}\right)\right] \\ &= 0.75 u(W-25) + 0.25 \int_0^{\infty} u\left(W-25-\frac{x}{2}\right) f(x) dx \\ &= -0.75 e^{-0.005(W-25)} + 0.25 \int_0^{\infty} -e^{-0.005\left(W-25-\frac{x}{2}\right)} \cdot 0.01 e^{-0.01x} dx \\ &= -1.2276 e^{-0.005W} \end{aligned}$$

\therefore complete insurance maximized the expected utility.

1.18 $f(x) = 0.1 e^{-0.1x} \quad x > 0$

a. $X \sim \text{exponential} (\lambda = 0.1)$

$$E(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda} = 10$$

$$\text{Var}(X) = \frac{1}{\lambda^2} = 100$$

b. pure premium = 5

$$E(I(x)) = \beta = 5 = \frac{1}{2} E(X)$$

$$I(x) = \frac{x}{2}$$

$$I_d(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x < d \\ x-d & x \geq d \end{cases}$$

$$\beta = \int_d^{\infty} [1-F(x)] dx$$

$$5 = \int_d^{\infty} e^{-0.1x} dx = 10 * e^{-0.1d}$$

$$d = 10 \log 2$$

$$1.19 \quad f(x) = \frac{1}{100} \quad 0 < x < 100$$

$$a. \quad X \sim \text{Uniform}(0, 100)$$

$$E(X) = 50 \quad \text{Var}(X) = \frac{100^2}{12}$$

$$b. \quad E(I(x)) = \beta = p = 12.5$$

$$E(kx) = 12.5$$

$$k = \frac{12.5}{E(X)} = 0.25 \quad \text{For proportional policy.}$$

For the stop-loss policy, we have

$$\beta = \int_d^{\infty} (1 - F(x)) dx$$

$$12.5 = \int_d^{100} \left(1 - \frac{x}{100}\right) dx$$

$$= \int_d^{100} \left(1 - \frac{x}{100}\right) dx$$

$$\Rightarrow d = 50$$

$$c. \quad \text{Var}[X - I(x)] = \text{Var}[X - 0.25X]$$

$$= 0.75^2 \text{Var}(X)$$

$$= 468.75$$

$$\text{Var}[X - I_d(X)] = E[(X - I_d(X))^2] - [E(X - I_d(X))]^2$$

$$= \int_0^d x^2 \cdot \frac{1}{100} dx + \int_d^{100} \frac{d^2}{100} dx$$

$$- \int_0^d x \cdot \frac{1}{100} dx - \int_d^{100} \frac{d}{100} dx$$

$$= 260$$

$$\therefore \text{Var}[X - I(x)] > \text{Var}[X - I_d(X)]$$

1.22 From lemma rule

$$u(w) - u(z) \leq (w - z)u'(z)$$

$$\text{for } u''(w) < 0, \quad w, z \in [a, b]$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} & u[w - x + I(x) - p] - u[w - x + I_0(x) - E(x)] \\ & \leq [I(x) - p - I_0(x) + E(x)] u'[w - x + I_0(x) - E(x)] \\ & = [I(x) - p + E(x) - x] u'[w - E(x)] \\ & \quad (\because I_0(x) = x) \end{aligned}$$

take expectation

$$\begin{aligned} & E[u(w - x + I(x) - p)] - E[u(w - x + I_0(x) - E(x))] \\ & \leq E[I(x) - p + E(x) - x] u'[w - E(x)] = 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \therefore E[u(w - x + I(x) - p)] & \leq E[u(w - x + I_0(x) - E(x))] \\ & = E[u(w - E(x))] \\ & = u(w - E(x)) \end{aligned}$$

\therefore Full coverage insurance is optimal

$$\begin{aligned} 1.23 \text{ a. } \text{Var}[I(x)] &= \text{Var}[I(x) - x + x] \\ &= \text{Var}(x - I(x)) + \text{Var}(x) - 2 \text{Cov}(x - I(x), x) \\ &= V + \text{Var}(x) - 2 \text{Cov}(x - I(x), x) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{b. } \because \cancel{V + \text{Var}(x)} \geq \cancel{2 \text{Cov}(x - I(x), x)} \\ V + \text{Var}(x) \geq 2(V \text{Var}(x))^{1/2}$$

$$\text{Var}[I(x)] \geq 2(V \text{Var}(x))^{1/2} - 2 \text{Cov}(x - I(x), x)$$

$$\because \text{Var}[I(x)] \geq 0$$

take equal sign.

$$\rho_{x, x - I(x)} = \frac{\text{Cov}(x, x - I(x))}{(V \text{Var}(x))^{1/2}} = 1$$

$$\begin{aligned} d. \quad \rho_{X, X-I(X)} &= \frac{\text{cov}(X, X-I(X))}{(\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)})^2} = \frac{\text{cov}(X, aX)}{(\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)})^2} \\ &= \frac{a \text{Var}(X)}{(\sqrt{\text{Var}(X)})^2} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$a = \sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}$$

$$\therefore I(X) = [1 - \sqrt{\text{Var}(X)}] X$$