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1. A professor asked her sophomore students, "How many drinks do you typically have per session? (A drink is defined as one 12 -ounce beer, one 4 -ounce glass of wine, or one 1-ounce shot of hard liquor." Some of the students didn't drink. From the students who did drink, the professor obtained numeric data from 17 female students and 23 male students. She is tentatively willing to regard the students as a simple random sample of sophomore students are her college. She wishes to compare mean numbers of drinks in the populations of female students and male students at her college.
(a) The professor's data is best described as (circle one):
i. single sample
ii. paired sample
iii. two-independent sample
iv. none of the above
(b) The statistical test best suited to the professor's analysis is:
i. z test
ii. t test
iii. chi-square test
iv. ANOVA
v. none of the above

Briefly justify your choice.
2. You wish to study whether the proportions of women in three occupations (accountant, actuary, graphic designer) are equal. You randomly sample local companies and obtain the following employment data:

|  | women | men |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| accountants | 17 | 26 |
| actuaries | 9 | 25 |
| graphic designers | 6 | 7 |

(a) In the table above, fill in the margins to complete the contingency table.
(b) Compute the expected count for the number of women graphic designers under the null hypothesis of equal population proportions in all three occupations. (Numeric answer; show your work.)
(c) The test statistic value is 2.159 . At significance level 0.05 , should we reject the null hypothesis of equal population proportions? (yes/no) Briefly describe how you got your answer.
3. The Business Opportunities Handbook gives data on business startup costs in thousands of dollars for five types of businesses (pizza parlors, bakeries, shoe stores, gift shops, and pet stores).

These sample data can be used to test whether the population means of startup costs are equal in these 5 types of businesses. SAS output for this problem is attached.
(a) Why is ANOVA a better choice for this data analysis than the Chi square test?
(b) List two assumptions that must be met in order for the results of ANOVA to be trustworthy. For each one, describe whether the SAS output suggests that the assumption is met.
(c) Write the null hypothesis that will be tested. Use conventional symbols.
(d) We wish to test the null hypothesis at significance level alpha $=0.05$. Give the numeric values of the test statistic and the p-value (from the SAS output).
(e) Should we reject $H_{0}$ ? (yes/no) Briefly explain.
(f) According to the SAS output, which pair or pairs of population means are different?
(g) In the following line from the ANOVA output, what quantity are we $95 \%$ confident falls in the interval? (Circle one)

| type <br> Comparison | Difference <br> Between <br> Means | Simultaneous <br> $95 \%$ Confidence <br> Limits |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| gifts - pet | 35.38 | $-3.75 \quad 74.50$ |

i. $\bar{x}_{g i f t s}-\bar{x}_{p e t}$
ii. $\mu_{\text {gifts }}-\mu_{p e t}$
iii. $\mu_{\text {gifts }}$
iv. $\mu_{p e t}$
$v$. None of the above
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The MEANS Procedure
Analysis Variable : cost

| type | N Obs | N | Mean | Std Dev | Minimum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| bakery | 11 | 11 | 92.0909091 | 38.8933273 | 40.0000000 |
| gifts | 10 | 10 | 87.0000000 | 35.9041935 | 35.0000000 |
| pet | 16 | 16 | 51.6250000 | 27.0748961 | 20.0000000 |
| pizza | 13 | 13 | 83.0000000 | 34.1345377 | 35.0000000 |
| shoes | 10 | 10 | 72.3000000 | 31.3654091 | 35.0000000 |

The ANOVA Procedure

Class Level Information

| Class | Levels | Values |
| :--- | ---: | :--- |
| type | 5 | bakery gifts pet pizza shoes |

Number of Observations Read 60

Number of Observations Used 60

The ANOVA Procedure

Dependent Variable: cost

| Source |  | DF | Sum of Squares | Mean Square | F Value | Pr $>\mathrm{F}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model |  | 4 | 14298.22424 | 3574.55606 | 3.25 | 0.0184 |
| Error |  | 55 | 60560.75909 | 1101.10471 |  |  |
| Corrected | Total | 59 | 74858.98333 |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { R-Square } \\ & 0.191002 \end{aligned}$ |  | Coeff Var 44.13598 | Root MSE $33.18290$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { cost Mean } \\ 75.18333 \end{array}$ |  |
| Source |  | DF | Anova SS | Mean Square | F Value | Pr $>\mathrm{F}$ |
| type |  | 4 | 14298.22424 | 3574.55606 | 3.25 | 0.0184 |

NOTE: This test controls the Type I experimentwise error rate, but it generally has a higher Type II error rate than Tukey's for all pairwise comparisons.

| Alpha | 0.05 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Error Degrees of Freedom | 55 |
| Error Mean Square | 1101.105 |
| Critical Value of $t$ | 2.92470 |

Comparisons significant at the 0.05 level are indicated by $* * *$.

|  | Difference | Simultaneous |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| type | Between | 95\% Con | idence |  |
| Comparison | Means | Lim |  |  |
| bakery - gifts | 5.09 | -37.31 | 47.50 |  |
| bakery - pizza | 9.09 | -30.67 | 48.85 |  |
| bakery - shoes | 19.79 | -22.61 | 62.20 |  |
| bakery - pet | 40.47 | 2.45 | 78.48 | *** |
| gifts - bakery | -5.09 | -47.50 | 37.31 |  |
| gifts - pizza | 4.00 | -36.82 | 44.82 |  |
| gifts - shoes | 14.70 | -28.70 | 58.10 |  |
| gifts - pet | 35.38 | -3.75 | 74.50 |  |
| pizza - bakery | -9.09 | -48.85 | 30.67 |  |
| pizza - gifts | -4.00 | -44.82 | 36.82 |  |
| pizza - shoes | 10.70 | -30.12 | 51.52 |  |
| pizza - pet | 31.38 | -4.86 | 67.61 |  |
| shoes - bakery | -19.79 | -62.20 | 22.61 |  |
| shoes - gifts | -14.70 | -58.10 | 28.70 |  |
| shoes - pizza | -10.70 | -51.52 | 30.12 |  |
| shoes - pet | 20.67 | -18.45 | 59.80 |  |
| pet - bakery | -40.47 | -78.48 | -2.45 | *** |
| pet - gifts | -35.38 | -74.50 | 3.75 |  |
| pet - pizza | -31.38 | -67.61 | 4.86 |  |
| pet - shoes | -20.67 | -59.80 | 18.45 |  |

