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Simulated sampling distributions from last year's lab


## Confidence in estimation

Example: Studying the quantitative skills of young Americans of working age

We might use the quantitative scores from the national Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP) Young Adult Literacy Assessment Survey

- possible scores from 0 to 500
- in a recent year, 840 men aged 21 to 25 years were in NAEP sample
- can be considered a simple random sample from the population of 9.5 million young men in this age range
- mean quantitative score: $\bar{x}=272$

What can we conclude about the population mean score $\mu$ of all 9.5 million young men?
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## Point estimation

If we had to guess a single number for the population mean $\mu$, our best "educated guess" is $\bar{x}$, the sample mean.
$\bar{x}$ is our point estimate of $\mu$.

How great is the uncertainty in this estimate?

## Interval estimation: the prelude

Recall essentials about sampling distribution of $\bar{x}$ :

- The mean $\bar{x}$ of 840 scores has a distribution that is close to normal (by the Central Limit Theorem)
- The mean of this normal sampling distribution is the same as the unknown mean $\mu$ of the entire population
- The standard deviation of $\bar{x}$ for a simple random sample of 840 men is $\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{840}}$
- where $\sigma$ is the standard deviation of individual NAEP scores among all young men


## Statistical confidence

- The 68-95-99.7 rule says that in $95 \%$ of all samples, the mean score $\bar{x}$ for the sample will be within two standard deviations of the population mean score $\mu$.
- So the $\bar{x}$ will be within 4.2 points of $\mu$ in $95 \%$ of samples of 840 NAEP scores
- But if $\bar{x}$ is within 4.2 points of the unknown $\mu$, then $\mu$ also has to be within 4.2 points of the observed $\bar{x}$ !
- This will happen in $95 \%$ of all samples.
- That is, in $95 \%$ of all possible samples of size 840 from this population
- the unknown $\mu$ lies between $\bar{x}-4.2$ and $\bar{x}+4.2$


## If we knew $\sigma$...

Imagine that we know that the true population standard deviation of quantitative scores among all young men is $\sigma=60$.

Then the standard deviation of $\bar{x}$ is

$$
\frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}=\frac{60}{\sqrt{840}}=2.1
$$

Imagine also that we could choose many samples of size 840 and find the mean NEAP quantitative score from each one.

If we collect all these different $\bar{x}$ s and display their distribution, we get the normal distribution with

- mean equal to the unknown $\mu$
- standard deviation 2.1
${ }^{8}$


## 95\% confidence

Our sample of 840 young men gave $\bar{x}=272$.
We say that we are $95 \%$ confident that the unknown mean NAEP quantitative score for all young men lies between

$$
\bar{x}-4.2=272-4.2=267.8
$$

and

$$
\bar{x}+4.2=272+4.2=276.2
$$

Every sample would give slightly different values for this interval.

Why are we so confident that $\mu$ lies in the interval we happened to get?

There are only two things that could have happened with our particular sample:

- We got a sample such that the true $\mu$ does lie in our resulting interval. That is, $\mu$ really is between 267.8 and 276.2.
- We were unlucky, and our simple random sample was one of the $5 \%$ of all possible samples where $\bar{x}$ is not within 4.2 points of the true $\mu$.

We cannot know for sure which thing happened with our particular sample.

Saying "We are $95 \%$ confident that the unknown $\mu$ lies in the interval (267.8, 276.2)" means

- "We got these numbers by a method that gives correct results $95 \%$ of the time."

What if we wanted to be more confident that our interval contained $\mu$ ?

We would use a confidence level other than 95\%.

Example: we will compute a $99 \%$ confidence interval for the mean of NAEP quantitative scores in young men

## What a $95 \%$ confidence interval does not mean

Saying "We are 95\% confident that the unknown $\mu$ lies in the interval $(267.8,276.2)$ " doesn not mean

- $\mu$ is a random variable that has a value within the interval $95 \%$ of the time
- $95 \%$ of the population values lie in the interval
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We need the values for a standard normal distribution that cut off the top 0.005 and the bottom 0.005 of values.

- Table A. 1 gives several possibilities (due to rounding).
- The most accurate choice is 2.58 for the upper cutoff.

So a $99 \%$ confidence interval for $\mu$ would be

$$
(\bar{x}-2.58(2.1), \bar{x}+2.58(2.1)
$$

If we didn't need to be all that confident, how would we compute an $80 \%$ confidence interval for $\mu$ ?

Two-sided confidence intervals for a population mean

- Draw a simple random sample of size $n$ from a population having
- unknown mean $\mu$
- known standard deviation $\sigma$
- A level C confidence interval for $\mu$ is

$$
\bar{x} \pm z^{*} \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}
$$

where $z^{*}$ is the value that cuts off the upper $\frac{1}{2}$ of ( $1-C$ ) of the area of a standard normal distribution
$z^{*}$ is called the confidence coefficient
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## What affects the width of a confidence interval

The width of a confidence interval gets smaller if

- The confidence coefficient gets smaller (equivalently, if the level of confidence gets smaller)
- $\sigma$ gets smaller
- $n$ gets larger


## One-sided confidence intervals

What if we only need to be confident that $\mu$ is below some upper bound (or above some lower bound), but we don't care how far it might be in the opposite direction?

Example: We are concerned that $\mu$ for the NAEP scores might be very low, so we want to find a lower bound. That is, we want to find a value $m$ such that we are $95 \%$ confident that $\mu \geq m$.

Begin by drawing the picture!

Now we will use Table A to find the value that cuts off the lower $5 \%$ of the area under a standard normal curve.

This is -1.645 .
Therefore, we are $95 \%$ confident that $\mu \geq \bar{x}-$ $1.645 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}}$.

In other words, our one-sided confidence interval for $\mu$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mu & \geq \bar{x}-1.645 \frac{\sigma}{\sqrt{n}} \\
& \geq 272-1.645(2.1) \\
& \geq 268.55
\end{aligned}
$$

